

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,096	12/31/2003	John H. Cafarella	64154-015(RRAK-3)	9612	
7590 07/30/2007 Toby H. Kusmer, P.C. McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109			EXAMINER		
			ABRAHAM, SALIEU M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3768		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			(\mathcal{A}		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- U		
Office Action Summary		10/750,096	CAFARELLA, JO	HN H.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Salieu M. Abraham	3709			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAtensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 De	<u>ecember 2003</u> .				
/ <u>-</u>	•	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-162 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)区	Claim(s) <u>1-162</u> are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	•	, ,		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form P	10-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have bee	Application No	l Stage		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
	er No(s)/Mail Date (Decay)	6) Other: _	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/750,096 Page 2

Art Unit: 3709

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-156, drawn to a system and corresponding method for detecting the presence of malignant tissue, classified in class 600, subclass 454.
- Claims 157-162, drawn to an ultrasound transducer assembly, classified in class 600, subclass 459.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the system and corresponding method for detection of malignant tissue can be achieved by using other imaging modalities such as MRI and/or optical coherence tomography (OCT). The subcombination has separate utility such as providing in vitro (outside the body) imaging of inanimate objects like (image) phantoms.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,096 Page 3

Art Unit: 3709

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. Upon election of invention groups I or II, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - i. species #1 characterized by the embodiment designed to use at least three separate probing methods to detect the presence of malignant tissue within the region of interest.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,096

Art Unit: 3709

ii. species #2 characterized by the embodiment designed to use at

least three ultrasonic probing methods to detect the presence of malignant

Page 4

tissue within the region of interest.

iii. species #3 characterized by the embodiment designed to use at

least three probing methods, wherein one of the probing methods is

photo-acoustic and at least two are ultrasonic to detect the presence of

malignant tissue within the region of interest.

iv. species #4 characterized by the embodiment designed to use at

least four probing methods to detect the presence of malignant tissue

within the region of interest.

4. The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive and

would not be obvious in view of each other.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 51 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 3709

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Toby Kusmer (Reg. No. 26,418) on 07/18/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3709

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salieu M. Abraham whose telephone number is (571) 270-1990. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:30 am - 6:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on (571) 272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,096 Page 7

Art Unit: 3709

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7/19/07 SA

ELENI MANTIS MERCADER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER